## AND THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Dr DAVID NOCKLES

Paper read to the Anglo-Catholic History Society at the Church of St Clement Danes, Strand, London on November 19 2012

Dr Peter Nockles is a librarian in the John Rylands Library and a Research Fellow in Religions & Theology at the University of Manchester. He is the author of *The Oxford Movement in Context* (Cambridge University Press, 1994) and has published numerous papers on 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> century British religious history. He has lectured widely in Britain, Europe and the United States.

His current work includes a recently published Cambridge University Press volume of scholarly essays, *The Oxford Movement, Europe and the Wider World, 1833-1930* and is one of the three editors of a forthcoming Oxford University Press Handbook of the Oxford Movement.

In addition to his literary output, he is active in many learned Societies including the Catholic Record Society, the Catholic Writer's Guild, the Ecclesiastical History Society and Church of England Record Society. He is currently contributing to a new history of Worcester College, Oxford, to a festschrift volume for the Rev. Henry Rack, to a volume of essays on 'Receptions of Newman' in memory of the late Frank Turner, and to a major study of Global Anglicanism.

Published by the Anglo-Catholic History Society 2013 24 Cloudesley Square LONDON N1 0HN www.achs.org.uk

## THE OXFORD MOVEMENT & THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

to flee to North America in the 1620s and 1630s. The Church of sacerdotal aggression which had induced their Puritan forebears understanding of the Church, was tarnished by associations with Jonathan Boucher (1738-1804), Myles Cooper (c. 1737-85) and without government or discipline and was weakened by internal one with the principle of popular election introduced. Moreover, for a time) Thomas Bradbury Chandler (1726-90), retreated to atitudinarian opinion, moves to introduce a native episcopacy, division. Although Samuel Seabury (1729-96), the first bishop Toryism and eventually Loyalism. Anglican Loyalists such as much mythology surrounds the first American Prayer Book of the Mother Country and inveighed against rebellion. Thus the consecration to Scottish Episcopalian Nonjurors, the model of compromise product of very mixed and contending influences 1789. Far from being moulded entirely by the apparently high Johnson (1696-1774), first president of King's College, New American episcopacy ultimately adopted was a latitudinarian Church lost many of her best clergy and laity, for a time was though supported by native high churchmen such as Samuel The prolonged but unsuccessful campaign for a resident Anglican bishop in the North American Colonies has been church Seabury, recent scholarship suggests that it was the shown to have been a contributory cause of the American York, was presented as part of a plot to subvert American atitudinarian William White as well as more conservative iberties and impose the kind of 'Laudian' despotism and in the United States, was a high churchman and owed his within the Episcopal Church and bore the mark of the Revolution. For dominant Whig, nonconformist, and England in America and in particular, a high church

As old wounds healed, a high church revival ensued in New York inspired by Connecticut churchmanship under the

churchmen, a pattern that was replicated on Bishop Chase's later Copleston), during this visit, was lionized primarily by Anglican 30). There was an emphasis on the divine constitution and order church contemporary Archdeacon Daubeny (1745-1827), while both Bishop Hobart and his 'low church' rival, Philander Chase, Bishop of Ohio (from 1819) made fund raising visits to England sense of affinity with the mother Church of England developed: Bishop Chase, who gave flattering accounts of attending divine Alexander Veits Griswold, Bishop of the Eastern Diocese from 1811, but the American Church never had a strong Evangelical eadership of John Henry Hobart (Bishop of New York, 1811called 'Hackney Phalanx' such as Joshua Watson (1771-1855) worship in Manchester's Collegiate Church and Oriel College, principles which Hobart himself, as he candidly admitted, had violated 'through the want of correct information'. A renewed visit to England in 1835 when he failed to impress the Oxford urging 'extravagant and arrogant pretensions' on behalf of his American Episcopalians in the principles of their own church; of and in the church and on the primitive church as the model explained to his Presbyterian critics who had accused him of Scottish profile of the American Church. Bishop Hobart was Oxford (where he stayed as the guest of the Provost, Edward courted by English high churchmen, notably those of the socommunion, this was part of a concerted need to re-educate and Henry Handley Norris (1771-1850). On the other hand, revolutionary times', that it always had 'an anti-evangelical in 1823-24. These visits helped raise the English as well as Hobart's writings owed a debt to those of his English high component. It was later maintained, partly because of the Tractarians. There were some notable exceptions such as opposition of the Episcopal Church to 'the Puritanism of for ecclesiology and apostolic order. As Bishop Hobart Evangelicals, and attracted criticism from English high stamp and tendency',

has been claimed that in the early-1830s, partly in consequence This American high church revival spread to the extent that it

that it 'needed the Oxford Movement less than did the Church of 1820s impressed the influential Fellow of Oriel College, Oxford, in North America was attracting admiring notice from all parties the future Archbishop of Dublin, Richard Whately (1787-1863) Catholic in feeling and in teaching than the Church of England, dominance of protestant sects, the American Church was more episcopalians'. By the mid-1830s, the expansion of episcopacy England'. It was precisely the independence of the American American Episcopalian Church: Whately even referred to his Church and its freedom from State control which in the midsomething to the successful example of the disestablished establishment, and of its need to define itself against the universally attributed to Whately, appear to have owed anonymous Letters of an Episcopalian (1826), almost and mentor of John Henry Newman. The anti-Erastian Episcoplian pamphlet as 'the address to the American of its separation from the state and entanglements of in the Church of England.

American High Churchmanship' but as in many ways 'the foster the English high churchman W.F. Hook's sympathetic history of Hobart, who proudly claimed the title of 'high churchman', had through the publication in Oxford of McVicar's biography and which the Tracts for the Times propounded. In short, the view father of the Oxford Movement'; and inspirer of an American Circumstances had already forced American churchmen to 'contained nothing more than American high churchmen had high church revival predating the Oxford Movement. Bishop a considerable influence upon the Oxford Tractarians, partly taught'. Bishop Hobart was hailed not only as 'the father of Moreover, English editions of a few American Episcopalian Presence and Eucharistic Sacrifice, the value of Tradition – was widely held that the tenets first presented in the Tracts the American church. In No. 81 of the Tracts for the Times, Pusey made favourable mention of the American liturgy, apostolical succession, baptismal regeneration, the Real address the questions - the divine origin of the Church,

publications in the 1830s were readily welcomed by English high churchmen as 'the offerings of the daughter to the mother' and proof of 'her not unworthy parentage'. On the other hand, the ecclesiastical ties between the Mother and daughter church remained fragile. During his stay in England in 1823-4, Bishop Hobart was not even allowed to preach or assist in Anglican services, since the Act which had authorised the consecration of bishops for America prohibited their being beneficed or even officiating in the mother country.

edition of Keble's The Christian Year, became a close confidant Tractarian teaching certainly found a fertile soil in the United 841 and gave moral support to the Tractarian cause, preaching Edgar P. Wadhams. Bishop Doane, editor of the first American analysis of the evidence that the Tracts for the Times and other Episcopal clergyman in New York was receiving 'confessions, only published in New York in 1840. A recent historian of the States, though the first edition of the Tracts for the Times was Tractarian publications were more talked about than read. Yet Fractarianism by the early-1840s, while the Episcopal Church Samuel Seabury (1801-72), editor of The Churchman, George William Rollinson Whittingham, Bishop of Maryland, Henry Theological Seminary in New York had become a hot-bed of of Keble and Pusey. He made an extended tour of England in Ustick Onderdonk (1789-1858), Bishop of Pennsylvania, and for W.F. Hook, Vicar of Leeds at the consecration of his new nineteenth-century Episcopal Church has suggested from his had several leading supporters of the Movement such as Dr conceded that most churchmen in the United States initially parish Church of St Saviour's on 2 September 1841 at what or all this and despite the limited circulation of the Tracts themselves, even some American critics of the Movement Doane called a 'Catholic occasion'. By 1846 at least one Washington Doane (1799-1859), Bishop of New Jersey, welcomed the teaching contained in them. The General after a form furnished by Dr Pusey'.

The Episcopal Church even had its Hurrell Froude parallel in the figure of the youthful Arthur Carey (1822-44), an assistant minister to Samuel Seabury at the Church of the Annunciation in New York City and one-time seminary student at the General Theological Seminary whose examination and ordination in Stephen's Church, New York, in July 1843 provoked an outcry among more Protestant elements within the Episcopal Church on account of his 'Romanising' views. It was claimed that Carey's ordination showed that the 'aim and intention of the Puseyites in America' was 'the same as that of the Puseyites in England – TO UNPROTETESTANTISE THE CHURCH'.

The Carey ordination even attracted critical notice among anti-Tractarians in the Church of England. Edward Copleston, Bishop of Llandaff and formerly Provost of Oriel, was only too aware of the challenge posed by Tractarianism. He privately criticized the ordination and its justification by an American bishop in a letter to his old pupil, Richard Whately, Archbishop of Dublin. Commenting on a published statement of defence of the ordination, Copleston told Whately that it seemed to him, 'decisive of the point that the American Episcopal Church is no longer Protestant, but Popish'.

Carey corresponded with Newman whose interest in the American church had been evident in an article which he published in the *British Critic* in October 1839. In November 1843, Carey writing from New York, urged Newman to remember him and 'a little band who are very lonely and who think that if you did but know of our existence, you would not forget us' He confided to Newman that he and his young friends from the General Theological Seminary were,

'exposed to the temptation of shrinking from those points, which will make us suspected by the High Church party (the dominant one) in order to justify them in defending us'.

This will have a struck a chord with Newman and his Littlemore relation to high church supporters of the Movement in the circle who had for some time been in a similar position in

forthright avowal of the closeness which he and his like-minded College and Edward Churton. Carey concluded his letter by a Church of England such as William Palmer of Worcester friends in New York felt to Newman and his Littlemore

community: 'The call on your disciples to pray for their teacher has thrilled through our hearts, and made us feel more near to you, than we are to our friends in this country'. Shortly after

sending this letter, Carey, like Froude with whom he was widely considered to share many religious characteristics, died

tragically young (in Carey's case on board a ship off Havana on approached by Tractarian supporters, such as Carey's seminary 4 April 1844 at the age of twenty-one). Newman was even

tutor, Benjamin McMaster, in the General Theological Seminary in New York, to write a memoir of Carey and edit his writings.

Carey had been reputed to spend at least three hours a day in reminiscent of Newman's late friend Hurrell Froude. The devotional exercises, and engaged in ascetical practices

intention was to produce an American equivalent of Froude's Carey's premature death and who always took the greatest Remains (1838-39). Newman, who was much affected by

identity of the high church revival in the United States. As he America, only reluctantly declined the invitation. Newman's reasons reveal a deep respect for the independence and selfinterest in the cause of 'Apostolical' principles in North

explained to Dalgairns:

what is not ours. It would be a great disrespect to our American it is plain that though Mr Carey was born on this side of the Atlantic, he is the property of America, and we must not take Fathers and brethren, and unjust'.

who should write Carey's memoir and epitaph, in a remarkable passage in the same letter, Newman appeared almost to envy In explaining almost to himself why he was 'the last person'

Carey's fate and to compare it favourably with his own embattled situation:

affection, and confidence of his church, whatever opponents he church has no confidence in me, and has with great unanimity might have had besides. With me it is quite the reverse. There He is taken away to the regret of all men, high in the favour, through its various organs reprobated what I have published, may be many individuals who think kindly of me, but my own when it has not kept silence'.

regarded in their respective churches. The feeling of desolation McMaster and his friends, however, could only see affinities following Carey's premature death. McMaster gave expression death of Froude was replicated across the Atlantic in the sense to the sense of loss which the American church had been dealt experienced by Newman and his followers on the premature by Carey's demise in a letter to his friend Edgar Wadhams: of isolation and anguish felt by McMaster and his friends and not differences between how Newman and they were

What remains for us, dear Wadhams, but to drag out the rest of our life, remembering and acting on what we once gladly learned from him? As to doing anything in our miserable church, I am almost out of hope'.

Dalgairns (1808-76) of Pembroke College, Oxford, acting as his inner Tractarian coterie in Oxford itself. Newman's friend and principal disciple in the Littlemore community, John Dobree It was a time to look ever more closely for support from the mentor's respondent, reassured McMaster who had already isited Newman in Oriel and in Littlemore,

quite at rest on the subject, for we all feel the greatest interest in an interest in the Anglo-American church. You may however be You seem in some places of your letter to doubt whether I feel

persons who have in a great measure the same trials and temptations'.

that the memory of the premature deaths of both these two lost Carey's death and that of Hurrell Froude, with the suggestion Dalgairns was explicit in drawing out an analogy between prophets would serve to guide and alter the course of the religious movement on both sides of the Atlantic. Thus, Dalgairns reminded McMaster:

Perhaps it may be of some comfort to you to recollect that in the outset of the movement in England Mr Newman and Mr nemory has had a great, perhaps the greatest effect on the Keble lost their most intimate friend Froude, and that his course of the movement'.

## In 1836 Newman informed Hook that he had,

for some time thought that a greater service could not be done go over to New York and make it their headquarters for several to the Church, than for two or three men who agree with us to

Newman had even wanted his one-time Oriel pupil and disciple the Six Doctors for his controversial sermon on the eucharist in the University church of St Mary's in 1843, on the first moves facetiously called, a kind of 'Apostolical bagman' who would Dalgairns told McMaster about the condemnation of Pusey by to condemn W.G. Ward's Ideal of a Christian Church in 1844 Frederic Rogers (later Lord Blachford) to act as, what Rogers theological developments and realignments at Oxford. Thus Episcopal Church in the United States. The correspondence communication, with Dalgairns keeping McMaster and his facilitate lines of communication between Oxford and the between Dalgairns and McMaster exemplified this close group of like-minded friends and students at the General Theological Seminary closely informed of events and

ultimate step of joining the Roman Catholic Church. Dalgairns's The bond was particularly close between apparent 'Romanisers' and about the heterogeneous elements ('I do not know whether he University of Oxford. A common bond of sympathy united beginning to direct official opposition to Tractarianism within adversity for the fortunes of the Movement by the mid-1840s. both groups on either side of the Atlantic in times of growing such as Dalgairns and McMaster as they both pondered the comment in a letter of August 1844 to his American friend America can furnish specimens of each class') that were expressed this sense of common destiny:

appreciate the pain suffered by a person on his way to Rome, as I am so much in the same situation as yourself that I can well you are'.

Littlemore from 1 September until 2 September 1845, should be news 'that all those whom you saw at Littlemore have now the one of the first to receive from Dalgairns in October 1845 the allegiance by 1845. On 28 May 1845, Newman writing from it was appropriate that McMaster, who visited and stayed at Protestant Episcopal Church deemed to be 'shaky' in their neffable joy of being Catholics'. There were others in the Littlemore, noted:

other day, and appeared very shaky indeed, but Pusey has since A Mr Forbes of New York, a very pleasing man...was here the steadied him'. These individuals clearly represented an advanced wing among American churchmen and were in tune with the most advanced traditional high churchmen in the Episcopal Church and 'goes Seabury, editor of The Churchman, was 'sick and tired' of American Episcopalians imbued with the principles of the Tractarians in England. According to McMaster, even Dr correspondence at Littlemore included letters from other about as far as I do'. Moreover, Newman's 'in-tray'

persons who have in a great measure the same trials and temptations'.

Dalgairns was explicit in drawing out an analogy between Carey's death and that of Hurrell Froude, with the suggestion that the memory of the premature deaths of both these two lost prophets would serve to guide and alter the course of the religious movement on both sides of the Atlantic. Thus, Dalgairns reminded McMaster:

'Perhaps it may be of some comfort to you to recollect that in the outset of the movement in England Mr Newman and Mr Keble lost their most intimate friend Froude, and that his memory has had a great, perhaps the greatest effect on the course of the movement'.

## In 1836 Newman informed Hook that he had,

'for some time thought that a greater service could not be done to the Church, than for two or three men who agree with us to go over to New York and make it their headquarters for several years'.

Newman had even wanted his one-time Oriel pupil and disciple Frederic Rogers (later Lord Blachford) to act as, what Rogers facetiously called, a kind of 'Apostolical bagman' who would facilitate lines of communication between Oxford and the Episcopal Church in the United States. The correspondence between Dalgairns and McMaster exemplified this close communication, with Dalgairns keeping McMaster and his group of like-minded friends and students at the General Theological Seminary closely informed of events and theological developments and realignments at Oxford. Thus Dalgairns told McMaster about the condemnation of Pusey by the Six Doctors for his controversial sermon on the eucharist in the University church of St Mary's in 1843, on the first moves to condemn W.G. Ward's *Ideal of a Christian Church* in 1844

and about the heterogeneous elements ('I do not know whether America can furnish specimens of each class') that were beginning to direct official opposition to Tractarianism within the University of Oxford. A common bond of sympathy united both groups on either side of the Atlantic in times of growing adversity for the fortunes of the Movement by the mid-1840s. The bond was particularly close between apparent 'Romanisers' such as Dalgairns and McMaster as they both pondered the ultimate step of joining the Roman Catholic Church. Dalgairns's comment in a letter of August 1844 to his American friend expressed this sense of common destiny:

I am so much in the same situation as yourself that I can well appreciate the pain suffered by a person on his way to Rome, as you are'.

It was appropriate that McMaster, who visited and stayed at Littlemore from 1 September until 2 September 1845, should be one of the first to receive from Dalgairns in October 1845 the news 'that all those whom you saw at Littlemore have now the ineffable joy of being Catholics'. There were others in the Protestant Episcopal Church deemed to be 'shaky' in their allegiance by 1845. On 28 May 1845, Newman writing from Littlemore, noted:

'A Mr Forbes of New York, a very pleasing man...was here the other day, and appeared very shaky indeed, but Pusey has since steadied him'.

These individuals clearly represented an advanced wing among American churchmen and were in tune with the most advanced Tractarians in England. According to McMaster, even Dr Seabury, editor of *The Churchman*, was 'sick and tired' of traditional high churchmen in the Episcopal Church and 'goes about as far as I do'. Moreover, Newman's 'in-tray' correspondence at Littlemore included letters from other American Episcopalians imbued with the principles of the

Oxford Movement but which dealt primarily with practical matters of school education and discipline rather than the immediate issues of theological controversy.

It was not only Newman's 'Romanising' circle that was in close contact with American Episcopalians in the 1840s. The ties between more moderate Tractarians of the so-called 'Bisley school' such as Isaac Williams and Thomas Keble and high churchmen in the United States were no less significant. For example, a Dr Henry Potter of Albany, New York, was in regular correspondence with Isaac Williams and visited and met with him and other old-fashioned Anglican high churchmen such as Henry Handley Norris (1779-1850) and Thomas Bowdler, as well as Tractarians such as Pusey in Christ Church, Oxford, and William Dodsworth (whom he heard preach) in London, during a visit to England in September 1845. Potter waxed lyrical about his stay at Bisley as the guest of the Vicar, John Keble's brother, Thomas.

or restrained the progress of the Oxford Movement in the United Tractarians) were 'astonishingly admired by the clergy (or some observed: 'they have a great gift and do not know how to use it'. Movement consequently found 'fewer obstacles in that republic atitudinarians could refer to the American Church as setting 'a than in any Christian country' and that the theological opinions Nonetheless, for all its freedom from the limitations imposed than in this kingdom', other factors ultimately militated against of them) in America'. W.F. Hook, one of the first English high perception that the American Church was a somewhat flawed Socinianism & Arianism have flourished of late years more about their American Episcopal brethren. As once Newman by a religious establishment, and assertions that the Oxford States and made English Tractarians somewhat ambivalent of Archbishop Whately (by then the great bête noir of the John Keble and his brother Thomas shared a widespread good example in omitting the Athanasian Creed', that model to follow. Thomas Keble noted that English

'though they have a Catholic church, there prevails among its members very little genuine Church principle. I have watched their progress for some years, and have seen with sorrow that there has always been an inclination even among their best men to yield to the prevailing opinions of the age'.

Hook highlighted the example of the American Church's introduction of a rubric on Regeneration which appeared to compromise the Catholic doctrine of Baptismal Regeneration. For his part, Newman related to Hook that he had heard from Pusey that there was a great fear of the American Church even splitting into two over the issue of Baptismal Regeneration, 'the Western taking the ultra protestant view, the New York connexion the Catholic'. Newman was unimpressed by Philander Chase, now Bishop of Illinois, when he called upon him in Oriel in 1835, noting disapprovingly that Chase had appeared ignorant of Hook's endeavours on behalf of his Church. Hook concluded his American reflections to Newman with a telling comment:

'I fear that our American Fathers and Brothers are too apt to consider that, if they maintain the one doctrine of Episcopacy, sadly curtailed as the jurisdiction of Bishops is, nothing more is required'.

Anti-Erastianism in itself was ultimately not enough. English high church advocates of establishment were not as a consequence deficient in their sacramental theology or ecclesiology in comparison with American high churchmen in the Hobartian tradition who disavowed the church-state connection on principle. In fact, in the United States, argued English high churchmen, there were other (perhaps less overt) restrictions on the independence of the church such as the

the writings of Bishops Samuel Seabury and John Henry Hobart, defended as such even by those (in the Hobartian tradition), who notable exceptions, yet a reality there. In spite of his own efforts theological education and learning, and very much to depend on mpressed than pre-Tractarian high churchmen had been, by the hinted at this. Newman mixed praise for some features, such as most of our brethren and many of our Fathers in that part of the closer inspection, would find wanting. The latter were no more constraints of overweening popular lay and 'national' influence fact that American episcopacy was republican and popular and Moreover, in terms of liturgical arrangements and worship the American church polity and constitutional arrangements (such article on Henry Caswall's history of the American Church in world is somewhat crude.' In short, there were features in the American church left much to be desired. Newman's review as its elective legislative framework) and in its liturgical and with some pointed criticism tinged with sarcasm such as the English high church and tractarian benefactors. English high ecclesiological arrangements which English Tractarians, on endowments which had forced American churchmen to seek on their behalf, according to Hook in 1835, 'the Divinity of the Tractarian 'in-house' journal The British Critic in 1839 subscriptions in England to satisfy the most basic needs of America as a noble aspiration to be supported but not, with the supply of books of divinity from W.F. Hook and other churchmen in the 1830s still regarded a learned clergy in ike the Tractarians, insisted on it as a divine institution. and the limitations of a voluntary system and lack of following:

'Let the visible be a type of the invisible. You have dispensed with the clerk, you are spared the royal arms; still who would ever recognise in a large double cube, with bare nails, wide windows, high pulpit, capacious reading desk, galleries projecting, and altar obscured, an outward emblem of the heavenly Jerusalem, the font of grace, the resort of angels?'

The slightest liturgical innovations could be condemned by authority. For example, Henry Potter of Albany informed Isaac Williams in late 1845, that the 'Bishop of Massachusetts has just denounced one of his clergy for having a Holy Table like an altar.'

While American Episcopalian sympathisers with the Oxford Vocal resistance to Tractarianism emanated from leading figures Bishop of Vermont, who has been described as an old-fashioned William Meade, and a more muted and nuanced refutation from Tractarian 'novelty' from a high church standpoint, fearing that Movement remained confident at least until the mid-1840s that school' who fell out with Newman during a visit to England in naterial', and that 'many of our Bishops are Ultra Protestant'. in the Episcopal Church such as the Evangelical Charles Petit McIlvaine (1799-1873), Bishop of Ohio (from 1832), Bishop Catholic Truth is insensibly winning its way, and that many John Henry Hopkins (1792-1868), first Protestant Episcopal church appeal to primitive record had seemed to provide for 1839. As has been recently argued, Hopkins was critical of actually undermined the ground of certainty which the high Dissenters were joining the Episcopal Church, the fear was he Tractarian emphasis on the indefectibility of the church American high churchman of the 'Connecticut-Hobartian expressed that 'we find it hard to assimilate all the new Bishop Hobart and his school in the American context. Prior to the impact of the Oxford Movement, party division lines between high church and Evangelical elements within the Protestant Episcopal Church were somewhat indistinct or muted, though perceptions of a West-East division on church lines had, as we have seen, been expressed by English Tractarians as early as the mid-1830s. However, the reaction to Tractarianism drew the always somewhat fragile Evangelical component within the Episcopal Church increasingly apart from their high church brethren, ultimately forcing some of them to withdraw from her communion to found a new church. Episcopalian Evangelicals

13

of the Tractarian emphasis on 'the beauty of holiness, on liturgy implications of the Tractarian exaltation of catholicity and unity Times or understood their tendency. It has also been argued that American Episcopalians largely emanated from an appreciation Presbyterians so as to discredit and undermine the Church as a divisions and contradictions within the Episcopal Church over questioned whether Episcopalian high church supporters of the nitial favourable responses to Tractarianism from high church combination of a robust defence of 'the great doctrines of the showed, they became internally divided when the theological as well as antiquity became apparent. In the case of Hopkins, Fractarians had really read the contents of the Tracts for the Reformation' with support for Ritualism. These emerging the reaction to Tractarianism were exploited by American and worship, and that, as the example of Bishop Hopkins English Tractarians would have been puzzled by the

classical Anglicanism. This trend was encouraged by Newman's of England, became one of reaction against the 'excesses' of the identified, in favour of a theory of the development of religious who personally strove hard to hold Newman within the Church Movement and a reassertion of anti-Romanism on the basis of doctrine. Stunned by Newman's defection, Henry Potter took forced on to the defensive and the party was weakened by the even Bishop Doane who had personal battles with Rome and 1845 for alleged moral misdemeanours. As in the Church of suspension of Henry Onderdonk, Bishop of Pennsylvania in Episcopal Church as expressed by Bishop Whittingham and conversion to Rome and his abandonment of his earlier Via High church Episcopalians in the United States were also Media position, with which high church Episcopalians England, the dominant high church position within the comfort from claiming that Newman,

'cannot slide from his former position into his present one. His former principles do not lead to his new ones. He is obliged to retract the former'.

espoused in the early numbers of the Tracts for the Times, which coupled with vigorous American Catholic rebuttals of Tractarian hat the number of converts to Rome from the Episcopal Church It was an interest which also had longer implications for Anglo-Protestant Episcopal Church most favourable to the Movement. Roman relations. Although there would be notable conversions Newman's sternest critics, Orestes Brownson, a fellow convert the Church of England. Moreover, some American converts to Carolina, and (in 1855) Bishop Doane's own eldest son whom the Bishop had himself ordained, it had become clear by 1846 in the United States was proportionally lower than those from Movement on both sides of the Atlantic as an opportunity for to Rome from among the higher Episcopal clergy such as (in nformed of Oxford news. It was an interest, especially when to Rome but one who passed through from Unitarianism and Church but from other very different religious traditions; the Kenrick and many clergy took in the progress of the Oxford claims of Anglican apostolical continuity and succession as Rome in the period were not from the Protestant Episcopal oused suspicion and jealousy even among elements in the It was a trend also exacerbated by the lively interest which American Roman Catholic bishops such as Francis Patrick conversions to Rome, an interest encouraged by Nicholas Wiseman who kept Catholic bishops in the United States 1851) Levi Silliman Ives (1797-1867), Bishop of North most notable example being that of one of the convert Transcendentalism. The Anglo-Catholic movement within the Episcopal Church continued to flourish and in the Mid-West took an evangelistic and missionary form with the founding of Nashotah House in Wisconsin in the early-1840s, and standards of worship and liturgical observance would improve. However, as in the Church

of England, the high church party was forced on to the defensive and even Evangelicals in the Episcopal Church felt challenged by Presbyterian and non-episcopalian evangelicals. Native and long-standing fears of episcopal despotism and 'priestcraft', dating back to the Colonial era, resurfaced. Significantly, the number of high church clerics raised to the American episcopate declined after the 1840s.

Church of England and Episcopal Church was an obstacle which dependent on the Mother Church and in turn exacerbated church residual ties between the Mother Country and her former colony cultural divergence which has been characterised as a feature of voluntary principle, the lack of state financing for the Episcopal mixed blessing in theological terms for the Protestant Episcopal America that has been shown to characterise the period. Just as Anglo-America in the period. The 'wider world' of our volume nigh churchmen on both sides of the Atlantic had to overcome. Moreover, the impact of the Oxford Movement proved to be a Church was a source of weakness and rendered Episcopalians American high churchmanship might have been smoother and Church of the United States and can be cited as one of several British and American Methodisms grew apart, so to an extent party rivalry. Without the Oxford Movement, the progress of did British and American forms of high church Anglicanism. politics and culture than it proved to be, but it would not and n religious and theological terms but also evidence of that itle in this case was English-speaking, 'Anglo-Saxon, and Nonetheless, the lack of a formal communion between the have remained better adapted to the realities of American components in a process of cultural divergence in Angloculturally linked even if geographically widely separated. Movement in North America demonstrated the enduring Although American Episcopalians made a virtue of the It can be concluded, that the progress of the Oxford could not then have been the same phenomenon